

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,655	3,655 03/14/2002		Yaacov Almog	UDX	2825
26418	7590	11/24/2003	•	EXAMINER	
REED SMI		ODDC DEBARTM	HESS, BRUCE H		
ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650			1774	~
				DATE MAILED: 11/24/200	3 Y

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

CLO 9

	Application No. 10/088/655 Almog et al.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner Bruce Hess 1774					
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE					
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 						
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4-7-03 (Amendment)						
☐ This action is FINAL.						
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
A Claim(s) 1-24, 26, 28 - 57 and 60	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected.					
Er Claim(s) 1-14, 16-24 and 26-32	is/are allowed.					
X Claim(s) 33-55, 51 and 60	is/are rejected.					
∑-Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election					
Application Papers requirement						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).						
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received						
in this national stage application from the International E						
*Certified copies not received:	•					
Attachment(s)	7					
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)					
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other					
Office Action Summany						

Application/Control Number: 10/088,655

Art Unit: 1774

1. Upon reconsideration, the restriction/election requirement has been withdrawn.

2. Claims 1-14, 16-24, 26 and 28-32 are allowed.

3. Claim 56 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 4. Claims 15 and 47 are rejected under 35 USC 112 (second paragraph) as being indefinite in the recital of "the silica is chemically bonded to the rest of the coating". How is silica "chemically bonded" to the coating?
- 5. Claims 33-46, 48-55, 57 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Ichiro (JP 09-157315).

Ichiro teaches an article comprising a polymer sheet coated with at least 25 wt % nano-silica. UV cured acrylic material and an amine material can also be present. The discovery of a new function or property (e.g., improved printability) inherently possessed by things in the prior art does not cause a claim drawn to those things to distinguish over the prior art. In re Swinehart et al., 169 USPQ 226.

- 6. Applicants' attention is directed to a) the fact that Poly (see claims 21, 22, 53 and 54) should not be capitalized and b) the spelling of "oxyelthelene" in claims 22 and 54.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce Hess whose telephone number is 703-308-2402.

BRUCE H. HESS
PRIMATE COMMINER

Page 2